IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 15532 of 2004

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

BHARTIBEN ASHOKBHAI PATEL

Versus

REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICER

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 15532 of 2004

MR TRILOK J PATEL for Petitioner No. 1

MS NAYNA GADHVI for Respondent No. 1

RULE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

Date of decision: 10/12/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. In this petition under Article 226 of the

Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for an appropriate writ, direction or order directing the respondent passport authority to make necessary rectification in the date of birth mentioned in the passport as stated in the Birth Certificate issued by the competent authority under the provisions of the Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969, as 4th August 1960 instead of 13th June, 1960 and to make necessary rectification in the name of the petitioner as Bhartiben Ashokbhai Patel instead of Bhartiben Raojibhai Patel on the basis of the marriage certificate or to issue a fresh passport to the petitioner by mentioning the correct birth date of the petitioner as `4th August, 1960' as mentioned in the certificate issued by the competent authority under the provisions of the aforesaid Act and by mentioning the correct name of the petitioner as `Bhartiben Ashokbhai Patel' as mentioned in the marriage certificate.

#. The learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that through inadvertence petitioner's date of birth is wrongly mentioned in the passport as 13th June, 1960 instead of 4th August, 1960 and the name of the petitioner is wrongly mentioned in the passport as Bhartiben Raojibhai Patel instead of Bhartiben Ashokbhai Patel and the respondent authority has refused to entertain his request to correct the said mistake.

#. Ms. Nayna Gadhvi, learned Addl. Central Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent authority has submitted that only on the basis of the material given by the petitioner the date of birth and name of the petitioner was recorded in the passport. He has further submitted that no change in the passport is effected, but for making any correction in the passport, a new passport is normally issued by the authority with correct details if the authority is satisfied with the genuineness of the data to be corrected.

#. Having heard the parties and looking to the facts and circumstances and also the material placed on record, the respondent is directed to look into the case of the petitioner on the basis of the evidence already adduced or which may be adduced by the petitioner to correct the date of birth and name of the petitioner as mentioned above. The respondent shall take appropriate decision in accordance with law after considering the relevant evidence adduced before him and shall pass an appropriate order as expeditiously as possible.

#. As the respondent authority will have to undertake

the exercise of scrutinizing the case of the petitioner afresh because of the default committed by the petitioner in not placing correct facts at an earlier point of time, a sum of Rs. 5,000/- is ordered to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent authority by way of costs and only on the payment of costs, the respondent may consider the application submitted by the petitioner. The petition is allowed. Rule is made absolute . Direct service is permitted.

(M.R.Shah,J)

(pathan)